



Enhancing Public Administration Strategies to Safeguard Pancasila Against Radicalist Threats

Aris Sarjito*

¹Faculty of Defense Management, Republic of Indonesia Defense University, Jakarta, Indonesia

Coessponding: arissarjito@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Pancasila; public administration strategies; radicalism.

ABSTRACT

In Indonesia, Pancasila, the state ideology, is the foundation for national unity and identity. However, the principles of Pancasila face significant challenges from radicalist threats that seek to undermine its core values. This research aims to explore the key radicalist threats facing Pancasila, evaluate the effectiveness of current public administration strategies in addressing radicalism, and propose innovative approaches and policy recommendations to strengthen public administration strategies for defending Pancasila. Utilizing qualitative research methods, this study draws upon Social Identity Theory, Policy Implementation Theory, and Diffusion of Innovations Theory to analyze the multifaceted nature of radicalist threats and inform evidence-based policy decisions. Secondary data sources, including government reports, academic literature, and media sources, are employed to gain insights into radicalism's origins, manifestations, and impacts on Indonesian society. The results show how radicalist ideas are complicated and rooted in group identities. They also show how hard it can be to implement policies because of bureaucracy. Finally, they show how new ideas such as community engagement programs, educational reforms, and technological interventions might be able to effectively fight radicalism. By understanding these challenges and opportunities, policymakers can devise targeted interventions to safeguard Pancasila against radicalist threats, promoting democracy, social justice, and unity.

1. Introduction

In Indonesia's contemporary socio-political landscape, preserving Pancasila—a foundational ideology—is crucial to maintaining national unity and stability. However, the rise of radicalist movements poses a significant challenge to this ideology, necessitating effective public administration strategies to safeguard Pancasila. This research explores state-of-the-art research on enhancing public administration strategies to defend Pancasila against radicalist threats.

Sukarno's formulation of Pancasila, which stands for democracy, social justice, and unity, serves as the philosophical cornerstone of Indonesia (Latif, 2018). Its significance lies in its

ability to unite diverse ethnic, religious, and cultural groups under a common national identity. However, radicalist ideologies seek to undermine Pancasila's principles, thereby challenging the integrity of the Indonesian state (Mutaqin, 2016).

Radicalist movements, often rooted in extremist interpretations of religion or ideology, pose a multifaceted threat to Pancasila and Indonesia's pluralistic society. These movements propagate divisive ideologies, incite violence, and undermine the principles of tolerance and unity enshrined in Pancasila (Setiawan, 2019). Addressing radicalism requires a comprehensive understanding of its socio-political dynamics and the development of targeted interventions.

Table 1.
Radicalist Movements in Indonesia

Radicalist Movement	Founding Date	Description
Darul Islam	1942	Darul Islam emerged in the 1940s, seeking to establish an Islamic state in Indonesia through armed struggle. It has undergone various iterations and splinter groups (Kilcullen, 2000).
Laskar Jihad	2000	Founded in 2000, Laskar Jihad recruited fighters to support Muslims in conflicts, particularly in Maluku and Central Sulawesi. It was disbanded in 2002 (Sholeh, 2009).
Jamaah Ansharut Daulah (JAD)	2015	Formed in 2015, JAD is an ISIS-affiliated group responsible for numerous terrorist attacks in Indonesia. It aims to establish an Islamic caliphate (Clancy, 2019).
Islamic Defenders Front (FPI)	1998	Established in 1998, FPI is known for its vigilante actions against perceived threats to Islam and its promotion of Sharia law (Uğur & Ince, 2015).
Hizbut-Tahrir Indonesia (HTI)	1983	HTI seeks to establish an Islamic caliphate through non-violent means but has been banned in Indonesia due to its radical ideologies (Ward, 2009).
Mujahidin Indonesia Timur (MIT)	2010	Operating in Sulawesi, MIT is a militant group aiming to establish an Islamic state. It has been involved in clashes with Indonesian security forces (Sheikh, 2019).

Source: Proceed by Author (2024)

This table provides a chronological list of radicalist movements in Indonesia along with their founding dates and brief descriptions of their ideologies and activities. The movements range from those with historical significance like Darul Islam to more contemporary groups such as JAD and MIT. Each movement represents various ideologies and approaches toward achieving its goals, from armed struggle to non-violent activism.

Effective public administration plays a pivotal role in countering radicalist threats and preserving Pancasila. State institutions must adopt proactive measures to address the root causes of radicalization, including socioeconomic disparities, political grievances, and inadequate educational systems (Christawan, Ariadi, et al., 2023). Additionally, promoting interfaith dialogue, community engagement, and civic education programs can foster social cohesion

and resilience against radical ideologies (Mutaqin, 2016).

Furthermore, leveraging technology and social media platforms for counter-narrative campaigns and monitoring extremist activities is essential in the digital age (Rees & Montasari, 2023). By enhancing collaboration between government agencies, civil society organizations, and religious leaders, public administration can develop a robust framework for preventing radicalization and promoting Pancasila's values (Prasetya et al., 2022).

In conclusion, preserving Pancasila against radicalist threats requires concerted efforts from the public administration sector. Indonesia can effectively safeguard its national ideology by understanding the socio-political dynamics of radicalism, adopting proactive measures, and fostering community resilience.

Moving forward, continued research and innovation in public administration strategies will be essential in ensuring the resilience and longevity of Pancasila in the face of evolving radicalist challenges.

Problem Statement

The proliferation of radicalist ideologies poses a formidable challenge to the foundational principles of Pancasila in Indonesia. As the nation grapples with the rise of extremism, there is a pressing need to fortify public administration strategies to effectively safeguard Pancasila and uphold national unity and stability.

The research aims to evaluate the current state of radicalist threats and their impact on Pancasila's integrity, analyze existing public administration strategies for combating radicalism, and propose innovative policy recommendations for enhancing public administration strategies to effectively counter radicalist threats.

Research Questions:

What are the key radicalist threats facing Pancasila, and how do they manifest in Indonesian society? This question seeks to delve into the various forms of radicalist ideologies present in Indonesian society, their origins, and how they undermine the principles of Pancasila. Understanding these threats is essential for effectively devising targeted interventions to counter them.

How effective are the current public administration strategies in addressing radicalism and safeguarding Pancasila? This question aims to evaluate the efficacy of existing government initiatives and policies in combating radicalism and protecting Pancasila. By assessing the strengths and weaknesses of current strategies, insights can be gained into areas for improvement and refinement.

What innovative approaches and policy recommendations can be implemented to strengthen public administration strategies for defending Pancasila against radicalist threats? This question focuses on generating novel ideas and proposals to enhance public administration strategies in the fight against radicalism. By exploring innovative approaches, such as

community engagement initiatives, educational reforms, or technological interventions, this research seeks to offer practical solutions for policymakers and stakeholders.

2. Literature Review

Social Identity Theory: This theory, pioneered by Tajfel & Turner (2004), explores how individuals' sense of identity and belonging to social groups influence their attitudes and behaviors. Applied to the research question, Social Identity Theory can help understand how radicalist threats facing Pancasila are rooted in the formation of group identities based on extremist ideologies. By analyzing how these ideologies shape individuals' perceptions of themselves and others in Indonesian society, policymakers can develop interventions that address the underlying factors driving radicalization.

Policy Implementation Theory: Pressman & Wildavsky (1984) introduced Policy Implementation Theory, which examines the challenges and processes involved in translating policy objectives into effective action. In evaluating the effectiveness of current public administration strategies in addressing radicalism and safeguarding Pancasila, this theory offers insights into the complexities of policy implementation. By considering factors such as resource allocation, stakeholder involvement, and bureaucratic dynamics, policymakers can identify barriers to effective policy implementation and devise strategies to overcome them.

Diffusion of Innovations Theory: Rogers et al. (2014) proposed the Diffusion of Innovations Theory, which explains how new ideas and practices spread within societies or organizations. Applied to the research question, this theory can help identify innovative approaches and policy recommendations for strengthening public administration strategies against radicalist threats. By understanding the factors that influence the adoption and dissemination of innovative interventions, policymakers can design strategies that maximize the reach and impact of initiatives aimed at countering radicalism and promoting Pancasila.

3. Methods

In addressing the imperative task of safeguarding Pancasila against radicalist threats, qualitative research methods using secondary data provide a valuable avenue for gaining insights, understanding complexities, and informing policy decisions. This research explores the application of qualitative research methods, guided by Creswell's approach, to enhance public administration strategies aimed at preserving Pancasila in the face of radicalism.

Creswell's qualitative research approach emphasizes the exploration of phenomena within their natural contexts, focusing on the meanings, experiences, and perspectives of participants (Creswell, 2014). This methodological framework aligns well with the multifaceted nature of radicalist threats to Pancasila, as it allows researchers to delve deep into the socio-political dynamics, ideological underpinnings, and lived experiences of individuals affected by radicalism.

In the context of enhancing public administration strategies, secondary data sources such as government reports, academic literature, media sources, and existing datasets offer a rich reservoir of information for qualitative analysis (Creswell, 2014). Researchers can leverage these sources to gain insights into the historical evolution of radicalist movements, patterns of radicalization, and the effectiveness of past interventions.

The thematic analysis serves as a primary qualitative research method for analyzing secondary data, allowing researchers to identify recurring themes, patterns, and insights across diverse sources (Braun & Clarke, 2006). In the context of safeguarding Pancasila against radicalist threats, thematic analysis can help uncover key ideological narratives, societal grievances, and vulnerabilities exploited by radical movements.

Through qualitative analysis of secondary data, researchers can delve into the ideological underpinnings of radicalist threats, examining the narratives, symbols, and rhetoric employed by extremist groups (Creswell, 2014). By understanding the ideological motivations driving radicalism, policymakers can devise

targeted interventions to counter extremist narratives and promote alternative visions grounded in the principles of Pancasila.

Qualitative analysis of secondary data allows researchers to assess the effectiveness of public administration strategies in addressing radicalism and safeguarding Pancasila (Mutaqin, 2016). By examining policy documents, government reports, and academic literature, researchers can identify gaps, challenges, and opportunities for improvement in policy implementation, resource allocation, and inter-agency coordination.

Qualitative research methods enable researchers to incorporate diverse stakeholder perspectives into the analysis, including government officials, civil society organizations, religious leaders, and community members. By triangulating insights from multiple sources, researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of radicalist threats and the nuanced dynamics of public administration responses.

In conclusion, qualitative research methods using secondary data offer a robust and flexible approach for enhancing public administration strategies to safeguard Pancasila against radicalist threats. By leveraging Creswell's approach, researchers can conduct thematic analysis, explore ideological underpinnings, assess policy effectiveness, and incorporate stakeholder perspectives to inform evidence-based policy decisions and interventions.

4. Result

Understanding Radicalist Threats to Pancasila Through Social Identity Theory

Indonesia's Pancasila principles are under threat due to the rise of radical ideologies. Social Identity Theory explains how extremist ideologies create divisive group identities, leading to conflict. To counter radicalization, the Indonesian government and civil society must work together to promote a more inclusive national identity. This can be achieved through education programs, interfaith dialogue, social cohesion, and combating discrimination practices. The National Bureau of Anti-Terrorism coordinates efforts to combat radicalism.

Evaluating Public Administration Strategies for Safeguarding Pancasila

The Policy Implementation Theory by Pressman & Wildavsky (1984) helps policymakers evaluate the effectiveness of current public administration strategies in combating radicalism in Indonesia. Factors like resource allocation, stakeholder involvement, and bureaucratic dynamics must be considered. Prioritizing education and awareness campaigns is crucial, as addressing the root causes of radicalism can prevent individuals from joining extremist movements. To optimize these strategies, policymakers must streamline administrative processes, improve inter-agency coordination, and promote accountability and transparency within the public administration.

Innovative Approaches and Policy Recommendations to Strengthen Public Administration Strategies for Defending Pancasila

Indonesia is tackling radicalism to protect its Pancasila principles. To combat this, the country is implementing innovative strategies, including technology and digital platforms for counter-radicalization, community engagement initiatives, and educational reforms. These measures aim to disseminate counter-narratives, debunk extremist propaganda, and engage at-risk individuals. Additionally, integrating critical thinking, civic education, and multiculturalism into school curriculum can still instill tolerance and pluralism. Inter-agency collaboration and multi-stakeholder partnerships are also crucial.

5. Discussion

Understanding Radicalist Threats to Pancasila Through Social Identity Theory

In the diverse socio-political landscape of Indonesia, the principles of Pancasila have long served as the bedrock of national unity and identity. However, the rise of radicalist ideologies poses a significant challenge to the integrity of Pancasila and the cohesion of Indonesian society. To effectively address these threats, it is imperative to delve into the various forms of radicalism present in Indonesian society, their origins, and how they undermine the principles of Pancasila.

Tajfel & Turner (2004) introduced Social Identity Theory, which provides a useful framework for comprehending the dynamics of radicalism in Indonesia. According to this theory, individuals derive a sense of identity and belonging from their membership in social groups, which significantly influences their attitudes and behaviors. Applied to the context of radicalist threats facing Pancasila, Social Identity Theory elucidates how extremist ideologies foster the formation of group identities based on divisive beliefs and narratives.

These group identities often pit individuals against those who do not subscribe to the same extremist views, leading to increased polarization and conflict within society. Furthermore, Social Identity Theory suggests that individuals are more likely to engage in radical behavior when they perceive their group identity to be under threat, such as when they believe Pancasila is being undermined (Nuraniyah, 2020). By understanding the role of social identity in radicalism, policymakers, and stakeholders can develop more effective strategies for countering extremist ideologies and promoting unity and tolerance within Indonesian society (Adnan & Amaliyah, 2021).

In Indonesian society, radicalist threats manifest through the cultivation of group identities rooted in extremist ideologies, such as religious fundamentalism or ethno-nationalism. These ideologies propagate narratives of exclusion and superiority, pitting adherents against those perceived as outsiders or adversaries (SNIDER, 2014). For example, radical Islamist groups advocate for the establishment of a Sharia-based state, rejecting the pluralistic principles of Pancasila and promoting intolerance towards religious and ethnic minorities (Jamhari & Testriono, 2021).

These groups often use tactics such as violence, intimidation, and propaganda to further their agendas and silence dissenting voices. This poses a significant challenge to the principles of democracy and pluralism that Indonesia strives to uphold (George & Venkiteswaran, 2019). Additionally, the rise of social media and online platforms has allowed these radicalist groups to spread their messages more widely and recruit new members, posing a

threat to the stability and harmony of Indonesian society. As such, the government and civil society must work together to counter these radicalist threats and promote a more inclusive and tolerant society for all Indonesians (Christawan, Ariadi, et al., 2023).

Moreover, Social Identity Theory highlights how radicalist ideologies shape individuals' perceptions of themselves and others, reinforcing ingroup solidarity while fostering distrust and animosity towards outgroups. Radicalized individuals often perceive adherence to Pancasila as a threat to their identity, viewing it as antithetical to their extremist worldview (Thontowi, 2020). As a result, they may engage in acts of violence or extremism to assert their group's dominance and suppress dissenting voices.

To combat this dangerous trend, the Indonesian government and civil society must work together to address the root causes of radicalization and promote a more inclusive national identity. This can be achieved through education programs that emphasize the values of Pancasila, as well as initiatives that encourage dialogue and understanding between different religious and ethnic groups (Agastia et al., 2020). By fostering a sense of unity and shared identity among all Indonesians, we can create a more peaceful and harmonious society for future generations (Baidhawiy, 2013).

To effectively counter radicalist threats to Pancasila, policymakers must develop interventions informed by Social Identity Theory. By recognizing the role of group identities in driving radicalization, policymakers can implement targeted strategies to mitigate the influence of extremist narratives and promote an inclusive national identity. This may involve initiatives such as promoting interfaith dialogue, fostering social cohesion, and combating discriminatory practices that fuel radicalism (Sidi, 2020).

By fostering a sense of belonging and shared values among diverse communities, we can build a stronger foundation for a united Indonesia (Fatmawati, 2021). Additionally, investing in education and economic opportunities for marginalized groups can help address the root causes of radicalization and

promote social inclusion (Ahmad et al., 2023). By addressing these underlying issues, we can create a more resilient society that is better equipped to resist extremist ideologies and uphold the principles of Pancasila. Through collaborative efforts and a commitment to promoting tolerance and understanding, we can build a brighter future for all Indonesians (Akbarzadeh, 2013).

The National Bureau of Anti-Terrorism (BNPT) is an Indonesian government agency responsible for coordinating efforts to identify and combat radicalism. Its activities include data analysis, education and training, social media campaigns, international collaboration, research and development, online monitoring, and counseling programs. These efforts aim to identify, combat, and counter radicalism to protect the nation's sovereignty and stability. BNPT also works with other government agencies to gather information and experience in identifying and combating radicalism, developing effective strategies, monitoring online activities, and providing counseling to individuals affected by radical ideologies (Alam et al., 2020).

Evaluating Public Administration Strategies for Safeguarding Pancasila

The efficacy of current public administration strategies in addressing radicalism and safeguarding Pancasila is a critical concern for policymakers in Indonesia. By evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of existing government initiatives, insights can be gained into areas for improvement and refinement, ultimately enhancing the protection of Pancasila against radicalist threats.

Pressman & Wildavsky (1984) introduced the Policy Implementation Theory, which offers a useful framework for evaluating the efficacy of public administration strategies in combating radicalism and defending Pancasila. This theory examines the challenges and processes involved in translating policy objectives into effective action, offering insights into the complexities of policy implementation.

By applying this theory to the context of radicalism in Indonesia, policymakers can better understand the barriers and facilitators to the

successful implementation of counter-radicalization measures (Suratman, 2017). By identifying potential gaps and inefficiencies in current strategies, adjustments can be made to strengthen the protection of Pancasila and safeguard against extremist ideologies (Christawan, Ariadi, et al., 2023). Furthermore, by evaluating the effectiveness of existing government initiatives through the lens of Policy Implementation Theory, policymakers can identify areas for improvement and refinement,

ultimately enhancing the overall resilience of the nation against radicalist threats (Mitchell, 2013).

In evaluating the effectiveness of current public administration strategies, it is essential to consider factors such as resource allocation, stakeholder involvement, and bureaucratic dynamics. Adequate resource allocation is crucial for implementing comprehensive counter-radicalization programs, including education, community engagement, and law enforcement initiatives (Mulia & Saputra, 2021).

Table 2.
The Failures of Public Administration Strategies in Safeguarding Pancasila

Failure of Public Administration Strategies	Description
Inadequate Implementation	Limited effectiveness due to inadequate implementation of Pancasila education and awareness campaigns (Adi & HA, 2023).
Lack of Coordination	Fragmented efforts and inefficiencies stem from a lack of coordination among stakeholders (Wolbers et al., 2018).
Insufficient Resources	The hindered impact is caused by limited financial and human resources allocated to Pancasila-related programs (Fios & Sudirman, 2020).
Resistance and Opposition	Undermined efforts resulting from resistance or opposition from radical groups or vested interests (Arizona, 2019).
Failure to Address Root Causes	Ineffective outcomes are due to neglecting underlying social, economic, and political grievances (Githens-Mazer & Lambert, 2010).
Ineffective Monitoring and Evaluation	Lack of accountability and oversight due to ineffective monitoring and evaluation mechanisms (Lusthaus et al., 1999).
Neglect of Cultural and Contextual Factors	Failure to resonate with local communities or respect cultural sensitivities, leading to skepticism or resistance (Akbar et al., 2022).

Source: Proceed by Author (2024)

Furthermore, stakeholder involvement plays a vital role in ensuring that diverse perspectives and expertise are taken into account when developing and implementing counter-radicalization strategies. Engaging with community leaders, religious organizations, and civil society groups can help build trust and cooperation, leading to more effective and sustainable outcomes (Barzegar et al., 2016). Additionally, understanding bureaucratic dynamics within the public administration system is essential for navigating complex decision-making processes and overcoming institutional barriers to successful

implementation. By addressing these key factors, policymakers can strengthen the nation's resilience against radicalist threats and safeguard the security and well-being of its citizens (Head, 2022).

Furthermore, the involvement of stakeholders, including civil society organizations, religious leaders, and marginalized communities, is essential for ensuring the legitimacy and effectiveness of public administration strategies. Collaboration with these stakeholders can enhance the relevance and cultural sensitivity of

interventions aimed at countering radicalism and promoting Pancasila (Ali et al., 2021).

In addition to involving stakeholders, policymakers must also prioritize education and awareness campaigns to combat radicalism. By promoting critical thinking skills and tolerance, individuals can better resist extremist ideologies and propaganda. This can help create a more cohesive and inclusive society that is resilient to the influence of radical groups (Macaluso, 2016). Furthermore, addressing the root causes of radicalism, such as social and economic inequalities, can help prevent individuals from being drawn towards extremist movements. By taking a holistic approach to countering radicalism, policymakers can effectively protect the nation's security and uphold its democratic values (Kundnani & Hayes, 2018).

However, bureaucratic inefficiencies and institutional barriers can hinder the effective implementation of public administration strategies. Complex administrative processes, overlapping jurisdictions, and insufficient coordination between government agencies may impede the timely and coordinated delivery of counter-radicalization programs (Anwar & Wildan, 2020).

As a result, policymakers must address these challenges and streamline the administrative processes involved in countering radicalism. This may involve improving communication and collaboration between different government agencies, clarifying roles and responsibilities, and enhancing the overall efficiency of program implementation (Waswa & Muna, 2023). Additionally, greater transparency and accountability in the allocation of resources and decision-making processes can help ensure that counter-radicalization efforts are effectively carried out. By addressing these bureaucratic obstacles, policymakers can better safeguard national security and promote democratic values in the face of extremist threats (Kundnani & Hayes, 2018).

To overcome these challenges, policymakers must devise strategies to streamline bureaucratic processes, enhance inter-agency coordination, and foster a culture of accountability and transparency within the public administration (Malla & Jorasia, 2023). By

addressing these institutional barriers, policymakers can optimize the effectiveness of public administration strategies in safeguarding Pancasila against radicalist threats (Christawan, Ariadi, et al., 2023).

Furthermore, policymakers must prioritize the allocation of resources toward countering extremist ideologies and promoting social cohesion. This can be achieved through investing in education programs, community engagement initiatives, and partnerships with civil society organizations. By empowering local communities to resist radicalization and promoting inclusive dialogue, policymakers can build resilience against extremist narratives and strengthen the foundation of Pancasila in Indonesian society (Jamhari & Testriono, 2021). Additionally, policymakers must also address the root causes of extremism, such as socioeconomic disparities, political grievances, and marginalization, to prevent the spread of radical ideologies. Only by adopting a comprehensive and multi-faceted approach can policymakers effectively combat extremism and uphold the principles of Pancasila (Beittinger-Lee, 2013).

Innovative Approaches and Policy Recommendations to Strengthen Public Administration Strategies for Defending Pancasila

As Indonesia grapples with the persistent threat of radicalism undermining the principles of Pancasila, there is an urgent need for innovative approaches and policy recommendations to strengthen public administration strategies in the fight against extremism. This discussion aims to find cutting-edge concepts and ideas that can increase the reach and impact of initiatives to combat radicalism and promote Pancasila, drawing on Rogers et al. (2014) Diffusion of Innovations Theory.

One innovative approach to bolstering public administration strategies is the utilization of technology and digital platforms for counter-radicalization efforts. By leveraging social media, online campaigns, and digital communication channels, policymakers can disseminate counter-narratives, debunk extremist propaganda, and

engage with at-risk individuals in virtual spaces (Rahmawan, 2018). Additionally, the use of data analytics and artificial intelligence can enhance the monitoring and analysis of online radicalization trends, enabling proactive interventions to mitigate the spread of extremist ideologies.

Furthermore, technology can also be utilized to track and identify individuals who may be vulnerable to radicalization, allowing for targeted outreach and support programs. By harnessing the power of digital tools, governments and organizations can stay ahead of the curve in combating extremist threats in the online realm (Zeiger & Gyte, 2020). This proactive approach not only helps prevent radicalization from taking root but also fosters a more resilient and inclusive society overall (Khan et al., 2023). As technology continues to evolve, it will be crucial for policymakers to adapt and innovate in their counter-radicalization efforts to effectively address the ever-changing landscape of extremism in the digital age (Davis, 2021).

Community engagement initiatives represent another promising avenue for strengthening public administration strategies against radicalist threats. By fostering partnerships with grassroots organizations, religious leaders, and local communities, policymakers can empower citizens to become active agents in countering radicalism within their neighborhoods (Puigvert et al., 2020). Community-based programs, such as youth forums, interfaith dialogues, and neighborhood watch groups, can promote social cohesion, resilience, and shared values aligned with Pancasila (Christawan, Perwita, et al., 2023).

These initiatives not only help to build trust and cooperation between government agencies and local communities but also provide opportunities for individuals to voice their concerns, share their experiences, and contribute to the development of effective prevention and intervention strategies (Kania et al., 2014). By involving citizens in the decision-making process and encouraging open dialogue, public administrators can create a sense of ownership and responsibility among community members, ultimately fostering a united front against radicalism and extremism (ODIHR, 2014).

Furthermore, by leveraging the diverse perspectives and resources within communities, policymakers can tailor their initiatives to address specific local challenges and vulnerabilities, ultimately increasing the effectiveness and sustainability of their efforts (Brokaj, 2014).

Educational reforms play a pivotal role in shaping the attitudes and beliefs of future generations and are therefore essential for safeguarding Pancasila against radicalist threats. Innovative educational programs that integrate critical thinking, civic education, and multiculturalism into school curricula can inoculate students against extremist ideologies and nurture a sense of national identity rooted in tolerance and pluralism (Osler & Lybaek, 2014). Furthermore, partnerships with educational institutions, religious schools, and youth organizations can facilitate the dissemination of counter-radicalization messages and promote a culture of peace and understanding among Indonesian youth (Mutsaers & Demir, 2019).

By fostering collaboration among various stakeholders in the education sector, the government can create a comprehensive strategy to combat radicalism and promote social cohesion. By empowering teachers with the tools and resources to address sensitive topics such as religious diversity and political extremism in the classroom, students can develop the skills and knowledge necessary to navigate complex societal issues constructively and respectfully (Annovi et al., 2023). Additionally, promoting interfaith dialogue and cooperation among students from different religious backgrounds can help bridge divides and foster a sense of unity and solidarity among Indonesia's diverse population. Through these efforts, Indonesia can build a resilient and inclusive society that upholds the principles of Pancasila and rejects extremist ideologies (Rusyana et al., 2023).

In addition to technological interventions, community engagement initiatives, and educational reforms, policymakers should prioritize inter-agency collaboration and multi-stakeholder partnerships to effectively address radicalism and safeguard Pancasila. By fostering coordination between government agencies, civil

society organizations, religious institutions, and academia, policymakers can harness collective expertise, resources, and networks to implement comprehensive and sustainable strategies against radicalist threats (Merrey & Cook, 2012).

Moreover, policymakers need to recognize the importance of grassroots efforts in countering radicalism. Local communities play a crucial role in preventing the spread of extremist ideologies and fostering a sense of unity and inclusivity. By empowering community leaders, promoting dialogue and tolerance, and providing support for at-risk individuals, policymakers can create a strong foundation for

resilience against radicalist influences (Aiello et al., 2018). Additionally, investing in social programs that address underlying grievances and promote economic opportunities can help address the root causes of radicalism and prevent individuals from being drawn into extremist groups (Allan et al., 2015).

Safeguarding Pancasila, the foundational philosophy of Indonesia, involves not only legal and security measures but also public administration strategies aimed at fostering its principles and values within society. Here are some strategies:

Table 3.
Public Administration Strategies for Safeguarding Pancasila

Public Administration Strategies	Description
Education and Curriculum Development	Integrating Pancasila education into the national curriculum at all levels of schooling (Santoso & Murod, 2021).
Public Awareness Campaigns	Launching campaigns to promote the understanding and importance of Pancasila among the general population (Junaidi & Prakoso, 2021).
Civil Society Engagement	Encouraging civil society organizations to promote Pancasila values in their activities and initiatives (Djumadin, 2023).
Government Policies and Programs	Implementing policies and programs that uphold Pancasila principles in governance and public service delivery (Umami et al., 2022).
Interfaith Dialogue and Cooperation	Fostering dialogue and cooperation among different religious communities to promote religious harmony (Baidhaw, 2013).
Community Empowerment	Empowering local communities to apply Pancasila values in their daily lives through development programs (Trisiana, 2020).
Research and Development	Supporting research to explore the relevance of Pancasila in contemporary contexts (Madung & Mere, 2021).
International Collaboration	Engaging in collaboration with other countries to exchange best practices in upholding foundational principles (Pless & Maak, 2004).

Source: Proceed by Author (2024)

By employing these public administration strategies, Indonesia can strengthen the safeguarding of Pancasila and ensure its continued relevance and resonance in the nation's development and identity.

6. Conclusion

Social Identity Theory provides valuable insights into the complex dynamics of radicalism in Indonesian society and its impact on Pancasila. By understanding how extremist ideologies

shape individuals' group identities and perceptions, policymakers can devise interventions that address the underlying factors driving radicalization and safeguard the principles of Pancasila for future generations.

Policy Implementation Theory offers valuable insights into the complexities of translating policy objectives into effective action in addressing radicalism and safeguarding Pancasila. By assessing the strengths and weaknesses of current public administration strategies through this theoretical lens, policymakers can identify areas for improvement and refinement, ultimately strengthening Indonesia's resilience against radicalist threats.

The Diffusion of Innovations Theory provides a valuable framework for identifying and implementing innovative approaches and policy recommendations to strengthen public administration strategies for defending Pancasila against radicalist threats. By embracing technology, engaging communities, reforming education, and fostering collaboration, policymakers can cultivate a resilient society grounded in the principles of democracy, social justice, and unity.

7. Limitation

The study on radicalist threats to Pancasila faces several limitations, including its focus on a specific geographical area, time constraints, data availability, methodological constraints, resource limitations, language, and cultural barriers, the dynamic nature of radicalist threats, external factors, bias and subjectivity, and compliance with legal and ethical considerations. These factors may limit the study's generalizability, provide insights into long-term trends, and ensure the accuracy of the findings. Additionally, the study may face challenges in accessing relevant literature, addressing language and cultural barriers, and ensuring compliance with legal and ethical standards.

References

- Adi, F. P., & HA, I. G. A. R. (2023). Educational Regulation Ineffectiveness in Instilling Pancasila Character in Reform Era. *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*, 33, 1907–1920.
- Adnan, M., & Amaliyah, A. (2021). Radicalism vs extremism: the dilemma of islam and politics in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial*, 20(1), 24–48.
- Agastia, I. G. B. D., Perwita, A. A. B., & Subedi, D. B. (2020). Countering violent extremism through state-society partnerships: a case study of de-radicalisation programmes in Indonesia. *Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism*, 15(1), 23–43.
- Aiello, E., Puigvert, L., & Schubert, T. (2018). Preventing violent radicalization of youth through dialogic evidence-based policies. *International Sociology*, 33(4), 435–453.
- Akbar, A. M., Rahma, T., Lemuel, Y., Fitriana, D., Fanani, T. R. A., & Sekarjati, R. D. L. G. (2022). Moral education and Pancasila in encouraging the prevention of intolerance in the era of globalization: Experiences of Indonesia and Malaysia. *Jurnal Panjar: Pengabdian Bidang Pembelajaran*, 4(2), 223–282.
- Akbarzadeh, S. (2013). Investing in mentoring and educational initiatives: The limits of de-radicalisation programmes in Australia. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 33(4), 451–463.
- Alam, R. H., Priyanto, S., & Mulyana, M. (2020). Early Warning and Early Response for Preventing Violent Extremism in Indonesia: Building Local-Level Collaborative Networks. *Proceedings of the The 2nd ICS Universitas Mataram International Conference: Countering Radicalism & Terrorism in the Digital Era-Reshaping a Global Peace Community*.
- Ali, N., Afwadzi, B., Abdullah, I., & Mukmin, M. I. (2021). Interreligious literacy learning as a counter-radicalization method: A new trend among institutions of Islamic higher education in Indonesia. *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations*, 32(4), 383–405.
- Allan, H., Glazzard, A., Jesperson, S. T., & Sneha Reddy Winterbotham, E. (2015). *Drivers of violent extremism: Hypotheses and literature review*.
- Annovi, C., Iglesias, C. Á., Muñoz, S.,

- Czyżewska, N., Dolghin, D., & Zapatero, B. (2023). *Handbook of methodologies for addressing radicalisation & polarisation through social inclusion*.
- Anwar, M. K., & Wildan, M. (2020). Family Well-being and Radicalism in Digital Era. *Proceedings of the Borneo International Conference on Education and Social Sciences (BICESS 2018)*, 142–149.
- Arizona, Y. (2019). The return of Pancasila: Political and legal rhetoric against transnational Islamist imposition. *Const. Rev.*, 5, 164.
- Baidhaw, Z. (2013). Building harmony and peace through multiculturalist theology-based religious education: An alternative for contemporary Indonesia. In *Peace education and religious plurality* (pp. 1–16). Routledge.
- Barzegar, A., Powers, S., & El Karhili, N. (2016). Civic approaches to confronting violent extremism. *Institute for Strategic Dialogue*, 1–60.
- Beittinger-Lee, V. (2013). *(Un) civil society and political change in Indonesia: a contested arena*. Routledge.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Brokaj, R. (2014). Local Governments role in the sustainable tourism development of a destination. *European Scientific Journal*, 10(31).
- Christawan, E., Ariadi, S., Thalib, P., Astika, D., & Suyanto, B. (2023). *Enhancement of Polri's Role in Dealing with Disinformation and Radicalism Extremism Terrorism and Separatism Propaganda in Cyberspace*.
- Christawan, E., Perwita, A. A. B., Midhio, I. W., Hendra, A., & Sumertha, I. G. (2023). *Papua as the Window of Indonesia's Spirit for the Melanesian Communities*.
- Clancy, J.-P. C. J. (2019). *The Implications of the Collapse of the Caliphate for Southeast Asia*.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *A concise introduction to mixed methods research*. SAGE publications.
- Davis, A. L. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and the Fight Against International Terrorism. *American Intelligence Journal*, 38(2), 63–73.
- Djumadin, Z. (2023). Weakening of the actualization of Pancasila values in national and state life. *Jurnal Sosial Sains Dan Komunikasi*, 2(1), 83–91.
- Fatmawati, E. (2021). Strategies to grow a proud attitude towards Indonesian cultural diversity. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S1), 810–820.
- Fios, F., & Sudirman, A. (2020). The praxis of sustainability development in the ideology of Pancasila: Ecological insights from Indonesia. *Praxis*, 14(3), 173–184.
- George, C., & Venkiteswaran, G. (2019). *Media and power in Southeast Asia*. Cambridge University Press.
- Githens-Mazer, J., & Lambert, R. (2010). Why conventional wisdom on radicalization fails: the persistence of a failed discourse. *International Affairs*, 86(4), 889–901.
- Head, B. W. (2022). *Wicked problems in public policy: Understanding and responding to complex challenges*. Springer Nature.
- Jamhari, J., & Testriono, T. (2021). The roots of Indonesia's resilience against violent extremism. *Studia Islamika*, 28(3), 517–545.
- Junaidi, M. E., & Prakoso, L. Y. (2021). Pancasila as the Basis for Indonesia's Universal Defense. *Journal of Social and Political Sciences*, 4(2).
- Kania, J., Hanleybrown, F., & Splansky Juster, J. (2014). Essential mindset shifts for collective impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, 12(4), 2–5.
- Khan, A., Qasim, M., Wasif, M., & Shaukat, B. (2023). ROLE OF STUDENTS IN COUNTERING VIOLENT EXTREMISM. *Russian Law Journal*, 11(4), 841–856.
- Kilcullen, D. J. (2000). *The political consequences of military operations in Indonesia 1945-99: A fieldwork analysis of the political power-diffusion effects of guerilla conflict*. UNSW Sydney.
- Kundnani, A., & Hayes, B. (2018). The globalisation of countering violent extremism policies. *Undermining Human*

- Rights, Instrumentalising Civil Society.* Amsterdam: Transnational Institute.
- Latif, Y. (2018). The Religiosity, nationality, and sociality of Pancasila: Toward Pancasila through soekarno's way. *Studia Islamika*, 25(2), 207–245.
- Lusthaus, C., Adrien, M.-H., & Perstinger, M. (1999). Capacity development: definitions, issues and implications for planning, monitoring and evaluation. *Universalia Occasional Paper*, 35(35), 1–21.
- Macaluso, A. (2016). From countering to preventing radicalization through education: Limits and opportunities. *Hague Inst. Glob. Justice Work. Pap*, 18, 1–15.
- Madung, O. G., & Mere, W. S. (2021). Constructing modern Indonesia based on Pancasila in dialogue with the political concepts underlying the idea of human rights. *JSEHR*, 5, 1.
- Malla, M. F. H., & Jorasia, A. (2023). Exploring Strategies for Effective Development Administration in Public Administration: A Review of Approaches, Challenges, and Opportunities. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)*, 5(3), 1–10.
- Merrey, D. J., & Cook, S. E. (2012). *Fostering institutional creativity at multiple levels: Towards facilitated institutional bricolage.*
- Mitchell, A. (2013). *Risk and resilience: From good idea to good practice.* oecd-ilibrary.org. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/development/risk-and-resilience_5k3ttg4cxcbp-en
- Mulia, R. A., & Saputra, N. (2021). Systematic Literature Review: Determination of Government Policy in Health and Education Development for Improved Human Capital. *Jurnal EL-RIYASAH*, 12(1), 92–107.
- Mutaqin, Z. Z. (2016). The strong state and pancasila: Reflecting human rights in the Indonesian democracy. *Const. Rev.*, 2, 159.
- Mutsaers, P., & Demir, S. (2019). Preventing radicalization and polarization: A literature review of policies, priorities, programs, and partnerships for youth at risk. *Web Publication/Site, Platform JEP.* <https://www.Platformjep.Nl/Binaries/Platformjep/Documenten/Publicaties/2020/04/09/Preventingradicalization-and-Polarization/Mutsaers+ And+ Demir, 282019, 29.>
- Nuraniyah, N. (2020). Divided Muslims: militant pluralism, polarisation and democratic backsliding. *Power T., Warburton E.(Eds.) Democracy in Indonesia: From Stagnation to Regression*, 81–100.
- ODIHR, O. (2014). *Preventing terrorism and countering violent extremism and radicalization that lead to terrorism: a community-policing approach.*
- Osler, A., & Lybaek, L. (2014). Educating 'the new Norwegian we': an examination of national and cosmopolitan education policy discourses in the context of extremism and Islamophobia. *Oxford Review of Education*, 40(5), 543–566.
- Pless, N., & Maak, T. (2004). Building an inclusive diversity culture: Principles, processes and practice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 54, 129–147.
- Prasetya, R. A., Maarif, S., Mahroza, J., & Simbolon, L. (2022). The Strategy of the Pancasila Ideology Development Agency (BPIP) in Facing Ideological Threats. *Res Militaris*, 12(6), 1051–1066.
- Pressman, J. L., & Wildavsky, A. (1984). *Implementation: How great expectations in Washington are dashed in Oakland; Or, why it's amazing that federal programs work at all, this being a saga of the Economic Development Administration as told by two sympathetic observers who seek to build morals on a foundation* (Vol. 708). Univ of California Press.
- Puigvert, L., Aiello, E., Oliver, E., & Ramis-Salas, M. (2020). Grassroots community actors leading the way in the prevention of youth violent radicalization. *PLoS One*, 15(10), e0239897.
- Rahmawan, D. (2018). Opportunities and challenges of digital media utilization for youth activism in Indonesia. *Indonesian Media and Social Transformation: Reports from*

- the Field* (Hal. 221–242). Bandung: BITREAD Publishing.
- Rees, J., & Montasari, R. (2023). The Use of Counter Narratives to Combat Violent Extremism Online. In *Digital Transformation in Policing: The Promise, Perils and Solutions* (pp. 15–31). Springer.
- Rogers, E. M., Singhal, A., & Quinlan, M. M. (2014). Diffusion of innovations. In *An integrated approach to communication theory and research* (pp. 432–448). Routledge.
- Rusyana, A. Y., Budiman, B., Abdillah, W. S., & Witro, D. (2023). Concepts and Strategies for Internalizing Religious Moderation Values among the Millennial Generation in Indonesia. *Religious Inquiries*, 12(2), 157–176.
- Santoso, G., & Murod, M. (2021). Pancasila Education Curriculum Perspective; 21st Century Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats. *WORLD JOURNAL OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP, PROJECT AND DIGITAL MANAGEMENT*, 2(01), 46–52.
- Sheikh, M. K. (2019). Islamic State and al-Qaeda in a Thriving Indonesian Democracy. *Global Jihad in Southeast Asia: Explaining the Expansion of the Islamic State and Al-Qaeda*, 39–55.
- Sholeh, B. (2009). Conflict, jihad, and religious identity in Maluku, Eastern Indonesia. *Al Jamiah*, 46(1).
- Sidi, B. A. (2020). *Unity and diversity: National identity and multiculturalism in Indonesia*. University of Otago.
- SNIDER, J. (2014). *ISLAMISM AND THE POLITICS OF CRISIS NATIONALISM: THE CASE OF INDONESIA*.
- Suratman, Y. P. (2017). The effectiveness of de-radicalization program in Southeast Asia: does it work?; the case of Indonesia, Malaysia, and Singapore. *Journal of ASEAN Studies*, 5(2), 135–156.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (2004). The social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In *Political psychology* (pp. 276–293). Psychology Press.
- Thontowi, H. (2020). *The impact of counter-narratives on inclusive identity and reconciliation support in the context of anti-communist sentiment in Indonesia*. University of St Andrews.
- Trisiana, A. (2020). Model of Community Empowerment in Universities in Order to Support Pancasila. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(04), 10.
- Uğur, M. A., & Ince, P. (2015). Violence in the name of islam: The case of 'islamic defenders front' from Indonesia. *Alternatives: Turkish Journal of International Relations*, 14(1), 33–51.
- Umami, F., Maududi, A. N., & Mahmudah, A. R. (2022). A Discourse of General Principles of Good Governance in Public Services in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Pancasila and Global Constitutionalism*, 1(1), 17–32.
- Ward, K. (2009). Non-violent extremists? Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 63(2), 149–164.
- Waswa, W. B., & Muna, W. (2023). Role of national government in countering violent extremism in Mandera County, Kenya. *International Academic Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 1(3), 479–508.
- Wolbers, J., Boersma, K., & Groenewegen, P. (2018). Introducing a fragmentation perspective on coordination in crisis management. *Organization Studies*, 39(11), 1521–1546.
- Zeiger, S., & Gyte, J. (2020). *Prevention of Radicalization on Social Media and the Internet*. International Centre for Counter-Terrorism (ICCT).